Interventions to improve adherence to inhaled steroids for asthma

Respiratory department

Content

Overview

Research

► References





Overview

- Asthma is a chronic breathing condition that affects more than 300 million adults and children worldwide.
- Asthma can cause shortness of breath, chest tightness and cough and typically presents with wheezing.
- ICS can result in symptom improvement and reduced asthma-related morbidity and mortality. ICS commonly used today include budesonide, beclomethasone, fluticasone (propionate and furoate), mometasone and ciclesonide. They can be given alone or in combination with other preventer medications such as long-acting beta2-agonists (LABAs) or leukotriene receptor antagonists (LTRAs) (BNF).

Overview

- Adherence (WHO) :"the degree to which use of medication by the patient corresponds with the prescribed regimen".
- Reasons for non-adherence vary among individuals. Commonly cited reasons include complexity of the treatment regimen; cost; administration route; and patient beliefs about therapy, including safety, necessity and risk of dependence. Lower socioeconomic status, inclusion in a minority ethnic group and fewer years of education have also been associated with reduced adherence : the result of forgetfulness or a busy, unpredictable lifestyle, failure to appreciate the specifics of regimens or the need for adherence, a purposeful choice to reduce or discontinue ICS use for many reasons, including side effects, fear of side effects or a perception that the benefits do not outweigh the disadvantages.

Research

- Review corchrane 2017: Interventions to improve adherence to inhaled steroids for asthma.
- Review corchrane 2017: Inhaled corticosteroids in children with persistent asthma: dose-response effects on growth.

Gina 2017

Review – corchrane 2017: Interventions to improve adherence to inhaled steroids for asthma.

- 39 RCTs from two months to two years (median six months) in high-income countries.
- Adherence education versus control (20 studies); electronic trackers or reminders versus control (11 studies); simplified drug regimens versus usual drug regimens (4 studies); and school-based directly observed therapy (3 studies). Two studies are described separately.

Adherence education compared with controls

Patient or population: asthma

Outcomes		Anticipated absolute effects* (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	Number of partici- pants	Quality of the evi- dence	Comments
		Risk with controls	Risk with adherence education		(studies)	(GRADE)	
% Adherence WMD of follow-up 71.7 weeks (all stud- ies)	Objective measures	Mean adherence in the control group was 46.7%	Mean adherence with adherence edu- cation was 20.13% higher (7.52 higher to 32.74 higher)		280 (5 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW ^{a,b,c}	Only studies in which adherence was measured with an electronic moni- tor
	All measures	Mean adherence in the control group was 57.1%	Mean adherence with adherence edu- cation was 11.59% higher (3.72 higher to 19.46 higher)	-	1693 (10 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW ^{a,b,c}	
Exacerbations requi (people with 1 or mo WMD of follow-up 30	ring OCS re)).8 weeks	149 per 1000	242 per 1000 (148 to 370)	OR 1.82 (0.99 to 3.36)	349 (3 RCTs)		
Asthma control (ACC WMD of follow-up 28	2) 3.5 weeks	Mean ACQ score was 1.52	Mean score with ad- herence education was 0.03 better (0. 49 better to 0.43 worse)	-	455 (4 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊕⊜ MODERATE ^{r.e}	Lower score indi- cates better control. Scale 0 to 6. MCID 0.5

Adherence education compared with controls

Asthma control (ACT) Mean ACT score Mean score with ad- -333 Higher score indi- $\Theta \oplus \Theta \Theta$ WMD of follow-up 29.5 weeks was 18.88 (3 RCTs) MODERATE^{a,e} herence education cates better control. was 0.30 better Scale 5 to 25. MCID (1.43 better to 0.82 3 worse) Unsheduled visits to a healthcare provider 159 per 1000 OR 0.48 688 Includes visits to ED. 83 per 1000 000€ (people with 1 or more) VERY LOW^{a,b,d,f} GP, hospital for any (0.19 to 1.19) (4 RCTs) (35 to 184) WMD of follow-up 67.2 weeks cause Absenteeism We did not perform an analysis of ab- -109 Not graded WMD of follow-up 63.3 weeks sences because the data were heavily (2 RCTs) skewed Quality of life (AQLQ) Mean AQLQ score Mean score with ad- -734 $\Theta \oplus \oplus \Theta$ Higher score indi-WMD of follow-up 27.4 weeks was 5 herence education (6 RCTs) MODERATE^{a,e} cates better QOL. was 0.01 better (0. Scale 1 to 7. MCID 20 worse to 0.23 bet-0.5 ter)

* The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI)

ACQ: Asthma Control Questionnaire; ACT: Asthma Control Test; AQLQ: Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire; CI: confidence interval; ED: emergency department; GP: general practitioner; MCID: minimal clinically important difference; OCS: oral corticosteroid; OR: odds ratio; QOL: quality of life; RCT: randomised controlled trial; WMD: weighted mean duration

Electronic trackers or reminders (± feedback) compared with controls

Outcomes		Anticipated absolute	effects* (95% CI)	Relative effect (95% CI)	Number of participants	Quality of the evi- dence (GRADE)	Comments
		Risk with controls	Risk with elec- tronic trackers or reminders (± feed- back)		(studies)		
% Adherence WMD of follow-up 47.6 weeks	Objective measures only	Mean adherence in the control group was 53.27%	Mean adherence was 19.86% higher (14.47 higher to 25. 26 higher)	-	555 (6 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE ^a	Only studies in which adherence was measured with an electronic moni- tor
	All measures	Mean adherence in the control group was 56.06%	Mean adher- ence with trackers was 18.41% higher (11.82 higher to 25. 00 higher)	-	762 (8 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW ^{a,b}	
Exacerbations require (people with at least WMD of follow-up 48	ing OCS 1) .6 weeks	218 per 1000	169 per 1000 (94 to 280)	OR 0.72 (0.37 to 1.39)	3063 (4 RCTs)	⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY L OW ^{a,b,c}	
Asthma control (ACC WMD of follow-up 43	2) .0weeks	Mean ACQ score in the control group was 0.89	Mean score with trackers or re- minders was 0.24 better (0.29 worse to 0.78 better)	-	109 (2 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW ^{a,c}	Lower score indi- cates better control. Scale 0 to 6. MCID 0.5

Electronic trackers or reminders (± feedback) compared with controls

Asthma control (ACT) WMD of follow-up 34.0 weeks	Mean ACT score in the control group was 20.04	Mean score with trackers or re- minders was 0.74 better (0.20 worse to 1.69 better)	-	596 (4 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊜⊜ LOW ^{a,b,d}	Higher score indi- cates better control. Scale 5 to 25. MCID 3
Unscheduled healthcare visits to a health- care provider (ED) WMD of follow-up 50.0 weeks	84 per 1000	95 per 1000 (75 to 119)	OR 1.14 (0.88 to 1.47)	2918 (2 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊕⊜ MODERATE ^c	Two studies (n = 2865) also reported hospitalisations. OR 0.97 (0.53 to 1.78)
Absenteeism (people with at least 1 absence) Follow-up 26 weeks	327 per 1000	409 per 1000 (285 to 546)	OR 1.42 (0.82 to 2.47)	220 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW ^{c,e}	
Quality of life (AQLQ) WMD of follow-up 36.8 weeks	Mean AQLQ score in the control group was 5.15	Mean score with trackers or re- minders was 0.03 worse (0.13 better to 0.20 worse)	-	369 (4 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE ^{a,d}	Higher score indi- cated better QOL. Scale 1 to 7. MCID 0.5

Simplified compared with usual regimens for asthma

Outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects* (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	Number of participants (studies)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments	
	Risk with usual regi- mens	Risk with simplified regimens					
% Adherence (objec- tive measures) WMD of follow-up 12.9 weeks	Mean adherence in the control group was 86. 73%	Mean adherence with simpli- fied regimens was 4. 02% higher (1.88 higher to 6.16 higher)	•	1310 (3 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊕⊜ MODERATE ^a	Only studies in which adherence was mea- sured with an electronic monitor	
Exacerbations requir- ing OCS People with 1 or more Follow-up 12 weeks	125 per 1000	250 per 1000 (24 to 823)	OR 2.33 (0.17 to 32.58)	16 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW ⁶		
Asthma control (ACQ) Follow-up 24 weeks	Mean ACQ score in the control group was 0.89	Mean score with simpli- fied regimens was 0.03 better (0.34 better to 0. 28 worse)		103 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊕⊜ MODERATE ^c	Lower score indicates better control. Scale 0 to 6. MCID 0.5	
Unscheduled visits Follow-up 12 weeks	63 per 1000	72 per 1000 (46 to 113)	OR 1.17 (0.72 to 1.90)	1037 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LO₩ ^{a,d}		
Absence from work/ school Follow-up 12 weeks	19 per 1000	18 per 1000 (7 to 43)	OR 0.93 (0.37 to 2.30)	1037 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊜⊜ LOW ^{a,d}		

Wiley & Sons,

Simplified compared with usual regimens for asthma

Change in quality of life Mean change in qual- Mean change with sim- -Higher score indicates 1037 $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ ity of life in the control plified regimens was 6 better QOL. Range 0 to (ITG-ASF) (1 RCT) LOWa,e Follow-up 12 weeks 100. MCID not known group was 14 points better (0.76 worse to 12.76 better) All adverse events 175 per 1000 OR 0.76 139 per 1000 1233 0000 Follow-up 12 weeks (106 to 181) (0.56 to 1.04) (1 RCT) LOWa.f

*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI)

ACQ: Asthma Control Questionnaire; CI: confidence interval; ITG-ASF: Integrated Therapeutics Group - Asthma Short Form; MCID: minimal clinically important difference; OCS: oral corticosteroid; OR: odds ratio; QOL: quality of life; RCT: randomised controlled trial; WMD: weighted mean duration

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: We are very confident that the true effect lies close to the estimate of effect

Moderate quality: We are moderately confident in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of effect but may be substantially different Low quality: Our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: The true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of effect

Very low quality: We have very little confidence in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect

^a Downgraded once primarily owing to lack of blinding and some concerns regarding attrition bias, selective reporting and selection bias (-1 risk of bias)

School-based ICS therapy compared with home therapy for asthma

Settings: school

Intervention: ICS given at school

Comparison: ICS given at home

Outcomes	Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	Number of participants (studies)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments
	Assumed risk	Corresponding risk				
	Control	School- based ICS ther- apy				
Unscheduled visits 1 or more hospitalisa- tions for any cause WMD of follow-up 35.8 weeks	49 per 1000	29 per 1000 (8 to 96)	OR 0.58 (0.16 to 2.05)	279 (2 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊜⊜ LOW ^{a,b}	
Quality of life (PAC- QLQ) 1 to 7; higher is better WMD of follow-up 35.8 weeks	Mean PAQLQ score in the control group was 6.31	Mean score in the inter- vention groups was 0.25 higher (0.01 to 0. 49 higher)	-	279 (2 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊕⊜ MODERATE ²	
Adverse events Follow-up 30 weeks	No events observed in either arm			99 (1 RCT)	Not graded	

*The basis for the assumed risk (e.g. median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The corresponding risk (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI)

CI: confidence interval; ICS: inhaled corticosteroid; OR: odds ratio; PAQLQ: Paediatric Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire; RCT: randomised controlled trial; WMD: weighted mean difference

- All pooled results for adherence education, electronic trackers or reminders and simplified regimens showed better adherence than controls:
 - Adherence education showed a benefit of 20 percentage points over control (95% confidence interval (CI) 7.52 to 32.74; five studies; lowquality evidence)
 - Electronic trackers or reminders led to better adherence of 19 percentage points (95% CI 14.47 to 25.26; six studies; moderatequality evidence)
 - Simplified regimens led to better adherence of 4 percentage points (95% CI 1.88 to 6.16; three studies; moderate-quality evidence).

Inhaled corticosteroids in children with persistent asthma: effects on growth

- 25 trials involving 8471 (5128 ICS-treated and 3343 control) children with mild to moderate persistent asthma.
- Compared with placebo or non-steroidal drugs, ICS produced a statistically significant reduction in linear growth velocity (14 trials with 5717 participants, MD -0.48 cm/y, 95% CI -0.65 to -0.30, moderate quality evidence) and in the change from baseline in height (15 trials with 3275 participants; MD -0.61 cm/y, 95% CI -0.83 to -0.38, moderate quality evidence) during a one-year treatment period.

Gina 2017

- ICS may reduce growth velocity in first 1- 2 years of treatment, but this is not progressive or cumulative.
- Long-term effects of asthma treatment show that only 0.7% decrease in adulthood.
- Poor control of asthma is the cause of the child's lack of development.

Uptodate 2016

- In children, both ICS therapy and untreated asthma itself have been associated with deceleration of growth velocity. The effects are most pronounced with severe asthma. Inhaled GC cause growth deceleration when assessed by very sensitive measures of growth velocity. However, asthmatic children continue to grow over a long period of time, and their ultimate adult height is approximately 1.2 cm less than without ICS.
- ► To minimize the risk of adverse effects from ICS, we advise use of the lowest dose that maintains asthma control, attention to compliance, and regular evaluation for co-existent conditions and triggers that can exacerbate asthma symptoms. In addition, spacers should be used with metered dose inhalers to optimize delivery to the lung, especially in adults receiving ≥800 mcg daily and children receiving ≥400 mcg daily through a MDI device. (See 'Measures to minimize systemic side effects' above.)

References

- Interventions to improve adherence to inhaled steroids for asthma, Normansell R, Kew KM, Stovold E, Corchrane 2017.
- Inhaled corticosteroids in children with persistent asthma: doseresponse effects on growth, Pruteanu AI, Chauhan BF, Zhang L, Prietsch SOM, Ducharme FM, Corchrane 2017.
- ▶ Gina 2017.
- Major side effects of inhaled glucocorticoids, uptodate Apr 01, 2016.

